

# DFIR Practitioner Rapid Peer Review

Joe Sylve, Bradley Schatz, Jessica Hyde, Brett Shavers, Graeme Horsman,  
Vico Marziale, Phill Moore, Joshua James, Harlan Carvey, Andrew White,  
Anthony Knutson, Scar Decourcier

# Situation

- Practitioners create relevant material regularly in blog form
  - Timely
  - Easy
- Many practitioners require anonymity/pseudonyms
- Work does not undergo peer review
  - Problem for Frye Standard
- Work is not cataloged
- Work is not threaded/correlated to related work

# Practitioner needs for participation

- Low barrier to entry
  - ie blog
- Must allow for open read-only access
- Reviewers and Contributors must be allowed a level of anonymity

# Goal

- Immutable archive of Content that can be referenced in court
- Peer Reviewed
  - Open & Rapid
  - Review is part of work as an editorial comment
- Continual publication
- Thread Correlated Content
- NOT FOR ACADEMIC PUBLICATION – this is for work that would not have been submitted through a formal process

# Summary

- Trusted
- Fast
- Free
- Open
- Immutable
- Archived
- Easy
- Practitioner Focused

# Future Work

- Platform selection
  - Review
  - Hosting
- Editorial Review Board
- Establish Rules of Engagement
- Naming/Terminology